Coulomb-Like Interactions in the Dirac Equation

S. Bruce¹

The Dirac equation for the Coulomb-like problem is modified by incorporating minimal interactions into the Dirac Hamiltonian, that keep the 1/r potential dependence. We determine the general energy eigenvalues and the corresponding eigenfunctions.

Let us consider a general quantum system described by canonical coordinates Q_i and P_j satisfying the Heisenberg algebra (Bruce *et al.*, 1996)

$$[Q_i, P_j] = i\hbar \mathbb{I}\delta_{ij},\tag{1}$$

where $\mathbb{I} \equiv I_{n \times n} \otimes I$ represents an *n*-block identity matrix, such that we may express these operators in the general form

$$Q_i = \widehat{\eta} \otimes q_i, \qquad P_j = \widehat{\eta} \otimes p_j, \tag{2}$$

where $p_j = -i\hbar\partial/\partial q_j$. Here $\hat{\eta}$ is a constant $n \times n$ hermitian matrix operator satisfying $\hat{\eta}^2 = I_{n \times n}$. From Eq. (2) we can define a *label* Δ associated with each representation of the Heisenberg algebra (1)

$$n \ge \Delta(Q_i, P_j) \equiv |\operatorname{Tr}(\widehat{\eta})| \ge 0.$$
(3)

Representations satisfying $\Delta = n$ correspond to the usual ones ($\hat{\eta} = I_{n \times n}$), where Q_i and P_j are reducible operators for $n \ge 2$.

The Hilbert space is defined as $\mathcal{H} = L^2(\mathbb{R}^3) \otimes \mathbb{C}^n$. It consists of *n*-component column vectors where each component ψ_i is a complex valued function of the *four*-dimensional (flat) space-time coordinates **q** and *t*. The scalar product is given by

$$(\Psi, \Phi) \equiv \int_{\mathbb{V} \subset \mathbb{R}^3} \sum_{i=1}^n \psi_i^*(\mathbf{q}, t) \phi_i(\mathbf{q}, t) \, d^3q.$$
(4)

1865

¹Physics Department, University of Concepcion, P.O. Box 160-C, Concepcion, Chile; e-mail: sbruce@udec.cl.

Bruce

The operator \mathbf{Q} consists of three self-adjoint operators Q_i whose domains are defined as

$$\int_{\mathbb{V}\subset\mathbb{R}^3} (Q_i\Psi)^{\dagger} Q_i\Psi d^3q = \int_{\mathbb{V}\subset\mathbb{R}^3} \sum_{j=1}^n |q_i\psi_j|^2 d^3q < \infty.$$
(5)

The momentum operator $P_j = -i\hbar\hat{\eta} \otimes \partial/\partial q_j$ can be defined as the Fourier transform of the position operator Q_j (j = 1, 2, 3).

Minimal interactions can now be introduced by means of the prescription $P_{\mu} \rightarrow P_{\mu} - gA_{\mu}$, where g is the coupling constant and A_{μ} is a gauge field ($\mu = 0, 1, 2, 3$). Note that here $P_0 = i\hbar I_{n\times n} \otimes \partial/\partial q_0$. This is the basis for the so-called *gauge principle* whereby the form of the interaction is determined by local gauge invariance. The covariant derivative $D_{\mu} \equiv (i/\hbar)(P_{\mu} - gA_{\mu})$ turns out to be of fundamental importance for determining the field strength tensor of the theory. It will be the operator that generalizes electromagnetic-like interactions.

To be specific let us consider a Dirac free particle described by the hamiltonian

$$H\Psi = (c\mathbf{\Sigma} \cdot \mathbf{P} + mc^2\beta)\Psi = i\hbar \frac{\partial\Psi}{\partial t},\tag{6}$$

where

$$P_{k} \equiv \gamma_{5} p_{k} = -i\hbar\gamma_{5}\nabla_{k}, \qquad \Sigma_{k} = \gamma_{5}\alpha_{k} = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_{k} & 0\\ 0 & \sigma_{k} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{7}$$

with

$$\beta = \begin{pmatrix} I_{2\times 2} & 0\\ 0 & -I_{2\times 2} \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \gamma_5 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I_{2\times 2}\\ I_{2\times 2} & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$
 (8)

Thus we define

$$Q_0 \equiv I_{4\times 4} \otimes q_0, \qquad Q_i \equiv -\gamma_5 \otimes q_i,$$

$$P_0 \equiv I_{4\times 4} \otimes p_0, \qquad P_j \equiv -\gamma_5 \otimes p_j,$$
(9)

where $p_{\mu} = i\hbar\partial/\partial q^{\mu}$ and $\hat{\eta} = -\gamma_5$. Thus $\Delta = 0$, i.e., *n* is an even integer and Q_i and P_j are formally "traceless" operators. The operators Q_{μ} and P_{ν} satisfy the canonical commutation relations

$$[Q_{\mu}, Q_{\nu}] = [P_{\mu}, P_{\nu}] = 0,$$

$$[Q_{\mu}, P_{\nu}] = i\hbar g_{\mu\nu}\mathbb{I},$$
(10)

where $\mathbb{I} = I_{4\times 4} \otimes I$ and diag(-1, 1, 1, 1) in the standard Dirac representation (Itzykson and Zuber, 1980). Here the normalization condition is

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \Psi^{\dagger}(\mathbf{q}, t) \Psi(\mathbf{q}, t) d^3 q = 1.$$
(11)

Coulomb-Like Interactions in the Dirac Equation

A general interaction that keeps the 1/r dependence is introduced by means of the simultaneous (minimal) replacements

(a)
$$mc^2 \to mc^2 + \frac{\hbar c\varsigma}{q}$$
, (12)

(b)
$$P_0 \to P_0 - \frac{1}{c} \left(\frac{-Ze^2}{q} \right),$$
 (13)

and

(c)
$$\mathbf{P} \to \mathbf{P} - i\frac{\lambda}{c}\beta\gamma_5\frac{\widehat{\mathbf{q}}}{q},$$
 (14)

where (a) is a *scalar* minimal interaction and (b) and (c) are *vector-like* minimal interactions. The wave equation for the interacting particle then becomes

$$H\Psi(\mathbf{q},t) = \left(c\boldsymbol{\Sigma}\cdot\left(\mathbf{P}-i\frac{\lambda}{c}\beta\gamma_{5}\frac{\widehat{\mathbf{q}}}{q}\right) + \beta\left(mc^{2}+\frac{\hbar c\varsigma}{q}\right) - \frac{Ze^{2}}{q}\right)\Psi(\mathbf{q},t)$$
$$= i\hbar\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\Psi(\mathbf{q},t).$$
(15)

Before solving the eigenvalue problem associated with (15), we recall that the operators

$$\widehat{K} \equiv \beta(\Sigma \cdot \widehat{\mathbf{L}} + \hbar), \qquad \widehat{\mathbf{J}} \equiv \widehat{\mathbf{L}} + \frac{\hbar}{2}\Sigma,$$
(16)

with $\widehat{\mathbf{L}} = \mathbf{q} \times \widehat{\mathbf{q}}$ the orbital angular momentum operator, are constants of motion: $[H, \widehat{K}] = \widehat{0}$, $[H, \widehat{\mathbf{J}}] = \widehat{0}$. Following a standard procedure (Itzykson and Zuber, 1980), the stationary states of energy *E* can be written as

$$\Psi_{E}(\mathbf{q},t) = \begin{pmatrix} \psi_{a}(\mathbf{q},t) \\ \psi_{b}(\mathbf{q},t) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \psi_{a}(q)\mathcal{Y}_{jj_{3}l_{a}}(\widehat{\mathbf{q}}) \\ i\psi_{b}(q)\mathcal{Y}_{jj_{3}l_{b}}(\widehat{\mathbf{q}}) \end{pmatrix} \exp\left(-\frac{i}{\hbar}Et\right), \quad (17)$$

where \mathcal{Y}_{jj_3l} are the normalized total angular momentum functions, with

$$\widehat{\mathbf{L}}^{2} \mathcal{Y}_{jj_{3}l} = \hbar^{2} l(l+1) \mathcal{Y}_{jj_{3}l}, \quad \widehat{\mathbf{J}}^{2} \mathcal{Y}_{jj_{3}l} = \hbar^{2} j(j+1) \mathcal{Y}_{jj_{3}l}, \quad \widehat{K} \mathcal{Y}_{jj_{3}l} = \hbar \kappa \mathcal{Y}_{jj_{3}l},$$
(18)

where $l_a = j \pm 1/2$ and $l_b = j \mp 1/2$ when $\kappa = \pm (j + 1/2)$. Thus the Dirac equation is equivalent to the set of first-order (nonlinear) differential equations

$$\left(\frac{d}{dq} + \frac{(1-\kappa)}{q}\right)f = \left(\left(\frac{mc^2 - E}{\hbar c} + \frac{\varsigma}{q} - \frac{Z\alpha}{q}\right)g - \frac{\lambda}{q}f\right),$$

$$\left(\frac{d}{dq} + \frac{(1+\kappa)}{q}\right)g = \left(\left(\frac{mc^2 + E}{\hbar c} + \frac{\varsigma}{q} + \frac{Z\alpha}{q}\right)f - \frac{\lambda}{q}g\right),$$
(19)

where we have used the fact that

$$\widehat{\mathbf{q}} \cdot \sigma \mathcal{Y}_{jj_3l_a}(\Omega) = -\mathcal{Y}_{jj_3l_b}(\Omega), \qquad \widehat{\mathbf{q}} \cdot \sigma \mathcal{Y}_{jj_3l_b}(\Omega) = -\mathcal{Y}_{jj_3l_a}(\Omega).$$
(20)

By setting

$$f(q) \equiv \frac{F(q)}{q}, \qquad g(q) \equiv \frac{G(q)}{q}, \tag{21}$$

and

$$M_1 = \frac{mc^2 + E}{\hbar c}, \qquad M_2 = \frac{mc^2 - E}{\hbar c},$$
 (22)

$$r = \sqrt{M_1 M_2} |\mathbf{q}|, \quad Z\alpha = Z \frac{e^2}{\hbar c}, \quad b = \sqrt{M_1 M_2}, \tag{23}$$

we find that

$$\frac{dF}{dr} - \kappa \frac{F}{r} = \left(\sqrt{\frac{M_1}{M_2}} + \frac{\varsigma}{r} - \frac{Z\alpha}{r}\right)G - \frac{\lambda}{r}F$$
(24)

and

$$\frac{dG}{dr} + \kappa \frac{G}{r} = \left(\sqrt{\frac{M_2}{M_1}} + \frac{\varsigma}{r} + \frac{Z\alpha}{r}\right)F - \frac{\lambda}{r}G.$$

Next we look for solutions in the form of series

$$G(r) = \exp(-r)r^{s} \sum_{\mu=0} b_{\mu}r^{\mu}, \qquad F(r) = \exp(-r)r^{s} \sum_{\mu=0} a_{\mu}r^{\mu}.$$
 (25)

From (24) and (25) we obtain the recursion relation

$$(s + \mu - \kappa + \lambda)a_{\mu} + (Z\alpha - \varsigma)b_{\mu} - a_{\mu-1} = \sqrt{\frac{M_2}{M_1}}b_{\mu-1},$$

$$(s + \mu + \kappa + \lambda)b_{\mu} - (Z\alpha + \varsigma)a_{\mu} - b_{\mu-1} = \sqrt{\frac{M_1}{M_2}}a_{\mu-1}.$$
(26)

For $\mu = 0$,

$$((s-\kappa)+\lambda)a_0 + (Z\alpha - \varsigma)b_0 = 0$$
(27)

and

$$((s+\kappa)+\lambda)b_0 - (Z\alpha - \varsigma)a_0 = 0,$$

i.e.,

$$s^{2} + 2s\lambda + (Z\alpha)^{2}(\lambda + 1) - (\varsigma^{2} + \kappa^{2}) = 0.$$
 (28)

Coulomb-Like Interactions in the Dirac Equation

Given that $a_0, b_0 \neq 0$, from (28) we obtain

$$s = s_{\pm} = -\lambda \pm \sqrt{\varsigma^2 + \kappa^2 - Z^2 \alpha^2} > -\frac{1}{2}.$$
 (29)

Choosing $\mu = n' + 1$ and $a_{n'+1} = b_{n'+1} = 0$, to terminate the series, we have that $a_{n'} = -b_{n'}\sqrt{M_2/M_1}$. Then from (26) we get

$$2\sqrt{M_1M_2}(s+n'+\lambda) = (M_1 - M_2) Z\alpha - (M_1 + M_2)\varsigma,$$
(30)

where

$$n \equiv n' + |\kappa| = n' + j + \frac{1}{2}$$
(31)

is the principal quantum number. By defining

$$\gamma = \frac{Z\alpha}{s+n-|\kappa|+\lambda}, \quad \xi = \frac{\varsigma}{s+n-|\kappa|+\lambda}, \quad \epsilon = \frac{E}{mc^2}, \quad (32)$$

we solve for ϵ :

$$1 - \epsilon^2 = (\epsilon \gamma - \xi)^2. \tag{33}$$

The solutions are

$$\epsilon_{\pm} = \frac{1}{1+\gamma^2} (\gamma \xi \pm \sqrt{1+\gamma^2-\xi^2}) > 0.$$

Note that for the point nucleus there exist bound solutions for

$$1 + \gamma^2 - \xi^2 > 0, \tag{34}$$

or equivalently

$$(s+n-|\kappa|+\lambda)^2 > \varsigma^2 - (Z\alpha)^2.$$
(35)

Thus for ϵ_{-} we have the constraint

$$(\gamma\xi)^2 > 1 + \gamma^2 - \xi^2 > 0.$$
 (36)

Explicitly we finally find the energy eigenvalues

$$E_{\pm} = \frac{mc^2(s+n-|\kappa|+\lambda)^2}{(s+n-|\kappa|+\lambda)^2 + (Z\alpha)^2} \times \left(\frac{Z\alpha\varsigma}{(s+n-|\kappa|+\lambda)^2} \pm \sqrt{1 + \left(\frac{(Z\alpha)^2 - \varsigma^2}{(s+n-|\kappa|+\lambda)^2}\right)}\right).$$

It is worth mentioning here that the Dirac oscillator (Moshinsky and Szczepaniak, 1989) can also be reobtained through a minimal interaction of the form (14) in the Dirac equation. This is done by choosing $A_k(q) = iq_k\beta\gamma_5 m\omega/e$,

where ω is the frequency for the oscillator. This gauge field gives rise to a harmonic oscillator with a strong spin–orbit coupling that introduces, as in the previous case, an infinite degeneracy. This oscillator has a hidden supersymmetry, responsible for the special properties of its spectrum (Benitez *et al.*, 1990). It is interesting to note that the vector field A_k in (14) is a Hermitian operator. This feature is absent in Moshinski's approach (Moshinsky and Szczepaniak, 1989).

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Professors C. Saavedra, J. C. Retamal and A. Klimov for many useful discussions.

This work was supported by Dirección de Investigación, Universidad de Concepción, through grants P.I. 96.11.19-1.0. and Fondecyt No. 1970995.

REFERENCES

Benítez J., et al. (1990). Physical Review Letters 64, 1643.

Bruce, S., Delgado, A., and Roa., L. (1996). Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and General 29, 4005.

Itzykson, C. and Zuber, J.-B. (1980). Quantum Field Theory, McGraw-Hill, New York.

Moshinsky, M. and Szczepaniak, A. (1989). Journal Physics A: Mathematical General 22, L817.